Case 4:23-mj-71263-MAG Document 27 Filed 11/02/23 Page 1 of 1

OAKLAND DIVISION

	United	d States of America,	Case No. 23-WJ-7/263
		Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT NOV - 2 2023
		y Gilbert Defendant(s).	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $\frac{11/2/23}{2}$, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $\frac{11/2/23}{2}$ to $\frac{11/9/23}{2}$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
(4)	12	Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	-	defendants, the nature of the prosecutor law, that it is unreasonable to expect ade	o [check applicable reasons] the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		Failure to grant a continuance would deny taking into account the exercise of due dilig	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		Failure to grant a continuance would unreast counsel's other scheduled case commitment See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	X	Failure to grant a continuance would unreas necessary for effective preparation, taking i See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
N .	X	disposition of criminal cases, the court sets paragraph and — based on the parties' show the time limits for a preliminary hearing un-	ng into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for ictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
	IT IS	so ordered. ED: 11/2/2023	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
	STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney